

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/557,191	Applicant(s) FERGUSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Paul A. Zucker	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Paul A. Zucker.

(3) _____.

 (2) Leonard C. Mitchard.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 April 2007
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 N/A


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Mitchard to request authorization for an Examiner's Amendment to add the required heading for the description of the drawings. Mr. Mitchard agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment of the following form:

The specification has been amended as follows:

Page 15, between lines 30 and 31: The heading -- Brief Description of the Drawings -- has been inserted.